Global green bond market size:

- Cumulative issuance since 2007: USD521bn
- USA leading with USD118.6bn, followed by China (USD77.5bn) and France (USD56.7bn)
- 2018 issuance: USD167.6bn\(^a\) (2017: USD162.1bn)

2018 labelled bond market size

- USD167.6bn green bonds, which meet the CBI green bond database screening criteria\(^b\)
- USD21.0bn sustainability / SDG / ESG bonds and loans financing green and social projects
- USD14.2bn social bonds financing social projects
- USD23.7bn green bonds, which do not meet the CBI green bond database screening criteria

Outlook for 2019 and beyond:

- Green bond growth expected from financial institutions, sovereigns, Certified Climate Bonds and climate-aligned issuers\(^b\)
- Continued harmonisation of taxonomies and use of green bond guidelines
- Growth of other labelled issuance (sustainability/SDG bonds and social bonds)

About this report

This report is the first publication in the annual series of the global Green Bonds State of the Market. It focuses primarily on labelled green bonds and uncovers the latest developments on a global scale. It looks at trends in green bond issuance and identifies avenues for market growth.

About the Climate Bonds Initiative

The Climate Bonds Initiative is an international investor-focused not-for-profit organisation working to mobilise the USD100tn bond market for climate change solutions. The mission focus is to help drive down the cost of capital for large-scale climate and infrastructure projects and to support governments seeking increased capital markets investment to meet climate goals.

Understanding green bonds and climate-aligned

Green bonds

Green bonds are issued in order to raise finance for climate change solutions, the key is for the proceeds to go to green assets. They can be issued by governments, banks, local government or corporations. The green bond label can be applied to any debt format, including private placement, securitisation, covered bond, and sukuk, as well as labelled green loans which comply with the Green Bond Principles (GBP) or the Green Loan Principles (GLP).\(^2,3\)

Green definitions

CBI uses the Climate Bonds Taxonomy, which features eight sectors: energy, buildings, transport, water, waste, nature-based assets, industry and ICT.\(^4\) See page 26 for a summary overview.

Certified Climate Bonds

CBI also develops sector criteria with expert input from the international science community and industry professionals.\(^5\) Issuers can certify their green issuance under the Climate Bonds Standard and Sector Criteria.\(^6\) Independent approved verifiers provide a third-party assessment that the use of proceeds complies with the objective of capping global warming at 2°C.

Inclusion in the CBI green bond database

Only bonds with at least 95% proceeds dedicated to green assets and projects that are aligned with the Climate Bonds Taxonomy are included in our green bond database and figures. The full version of the CBI Green Bond Database Methodology is available online.\(^7\)

Climate-aligned issuers

Climate-aligned issuers are entities which generate at least 75% of their revenues from green business lines. CBI distinguishes between fully-aligned issuers with 95% or more green revenues, and strongly-aligned issuers (75-95% green revenues).

The term “aligned outstanding bonds” underscores the fact that for strongly-aligned issuers CBI takes only a proportion of their outstanding bonds into account, and this proportion is the same as the percentage green revenues represent of their total revenues. For fully-aligned issuers, the full amount of their outstanding bonds is included in climate-aligned bond figures.

Climate-aligned bond universe

The term is used to describe the universe of aligned outstanding bonds from climate-aligned issuers and green bond issuers.\(^8\)
## Top 5 in 2018: Green bond issuance rankings

**No. 1 USA**
- **Amount:** USD34bn
- **Market share:** 20%
- **Issuers:** 63

**No. 2 China**
- **Amount:** USD31bn
- **Market share:** 18%
- **Issuers:** 69

**No. 3 France**
- **Amount:** USD14bn
- **Market share:** 8%
- **Issuers:** 12

**No. 4 Germany**
- **Amount:** USD12bn
- **Market share:** 5%
- **Issuers:** 14

**No. 5 Netherlands**
- **Amount:** USD7.4bn
- **Market share:** 4%
- **Issuers:** 6

---

**World Issuance 2018**
- > USD15bn
- USD5-15bn
- USD1-5bn
- < USD1bn

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Fannie Mae ABS</td>
<td>buildings</td>
<td>USD20bn</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Industrial Bank Financial corporate</td>
<td>multi-sector</td>
<td>USD9.6bn</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Republic of France Sovereign</td>
<td>multi-sector</td>
<td>USD6bn</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Kingdom of Belgium Sovereign</td>
<td>mainly transport</td>
<td>USD5.5bn</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>NTMA Sovereign</td>
<td>multi-sector</td>
<td>USD3.5bn</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

The largest green bond markets tend to have high levels of reporting

---

**Green bonds: The state of the market 2018** Climate Bonds Initiative
The 2018 green bond market in numbers

**Top 3 countries**
1. USA (USD34.2bn)
2. China (USD31bn)
3. France (USD14.2bn)

**Top 3 issuers**
1. Fannie Mae, USA (USD20.1bn)
2. Industrial Bank, China (USD9.6bn)
3. Republic of France (USD6bn)

**Top 3 most frequent issuers**
1. Fannie Mae (1,098 deals)
2. EIB (14)
3. Vasakronan (13), World Bank (13)

**New issuers**
- 12% of deal count issued by new entrants, 40% excluding Fannie Mae (2017: 7%, 24%)
- 31% of volume attributed to debut issuers, 35% excluding Fannie Mae (2017: 18%, 22%)

**Deal size**
- 6% benchmark-sized deals (USD500m +), 21% excluding Fannie Mae (2017: 6%, 23%)
- Median deal size USD20m (2017: USD22m), USD128m excluding Fannie Mae (USD138m)

**Deal currency**
- 88% of deals in hard currency, 60% without Fannie Mae (2017: 90%, 63%)
- Deals issued in 30 currencies (2017: 25)
- Top 3 currencies: EUR, USD, CNY

**External reviews**
- 95% of deals received an external review, 83% excluding Fannie Mae (2017: 96%, 87%)

**Top 3 green bond market trends in 2018**
1. The rise of a broader range of socially-conscious debt labels (SDG bonds, social bonds, blue bonds) was clearly visible, providing other avenues for responsible investment.
2. The return of volatility to financial markets negatively impacted overall bond sales.
3. Revised taxonomies and further work on harmonization
   - March 2018: Loan Market Association (LMA) published the Green Loan Principles (GLP), with the support of the International Capital Market Association (ICMA)\(^2\)
   - September 2018: Climate Bonds Taxonomy updated in with new sectors and clearer definitions\(^5,7\)
   - H2 2018: EU Technical Expert Group (TEG) on sustainable finance began the development of a EU green finance taxonomy. It published a consultation paper in December.\(^4,6\)

**8 new markets, 204 new issuers**
Issuance from the 8 new green bond markets in 2018 – Iceland, Indonesia, Lebanon, Namibia, Portugal, Seychelles, Thailand and Uruguay – amounted to USD3.3bn.
The 204 debut green bond issuers accounted for USD61.2bn of issuance, or 37% of the annual market volume (2017: 161 issuers, 35% share). 30% of debut issuer volume was attributed to financial corporates, mainly commercial and property banks.

**130 repeat issuers: 63% of 2018 volume**
USD106bn was issued by 130 repeat issuers. Most came back to market once. However, a third of repeat issuer volume came from 9 entities that issued 5 or more deals in the course of the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of repeat issuers</th>
<th>Frequency (number of deals in 2018)</th>
<th>Share of repeat issuer volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5 or more</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A quarter of repeat issuers’ volume came from non-financial corporates, mainly utilities, real estate and transport. Repeat sovereign issuance stood at 17% of the 2018 repeat issuer total, as a result of two taps of the French Green OAT (EUR6bn) and a second green bond from Poland (EUR1bn). In February 2019 France raised a further EUR1.7bn, and at the time of writing Poland was in the market with a EUR2bn deal, which points to a trend of annual green issuance to finance the transition to a low-carbon economy.

**Highest growth rate: Asia-Pacific region**
Asia-Pacific achieved the highest regional year-on-year growth rate at 35% and had the second largest 2018 volume after Europe. The bulk of the regional growth can be attributed to the increasing weight of financial corporate issuers in the market, representing more than half of Asia-Pacific issuance volumes in 2018. Issuance from non-financial corporates, green loans, government-backed entities, sovereigns and local government also rose steadily.

In 2018, the top 3 Asian-Pacific countries were China (USD31bn), Australia (USD4.2bn) and Japan (USD4.1bn). China performed strongly with the top two regional issuers being Industrial Bank (USD9.6bn) and ICBC (USD2.3bn).

Supranationals (‘Global’ in the chart) ranked second in terms of growth for 2017/18 at 34%. North American Development Bank was a debut green bond issuer in 2018. The top three green bond issuers for the year were the EIB (USD5.6bn), World Bank (USD2.4bn) and Asian Development Bank (USD1.7bn).
Notably, however, multilateral development banks have stepped up their support for emerging market (EM) deals by investing in debut green bond issuance. The IFC was active both as a prominent private placement investor and as a key investor in the Amundi Emerging Green One Fund, set up in 2018 to invest specifically in green bonds from EM financial institutions.36

European issuers observed the third-largest year-on-year regional growth rate at 15%. This secures Europe’s top spot as largest green bond market overall with USD190bn of issuance since 2007.

**Financial institutions fuelled 2018 market growth**

2018 set a precedent for financial institutions and their role in the green bond market. It has seen their green bond issuance more than double compared to 2017, a remarkable achievement.

**Commercial banks** were the most active of all financial institutions, and their issuance nearly doubled from 2017 levels with contributions from a wide variety of institutions, from the second largest global issuer Industrial Bank (China) to one of the most recent market entrants, Bank Windhoek (Namibia).

**Property banks and real estate investment trusts (REITs)** were also very much involved, the vast majority from developed markets. Four property banks entered the green bond market in 2018, spurring green covered bond growth, while the geographic diversity of REIT issuance greatly increased, together with volumes.

The section on page 18 further expands on the role of financial institutions in greening the economy.

**Sovereigns were prolific issuers in 2018**

The USD17.5bn worth of sovereign green bond issuance in 2018 accounts for 10% of the global volume. Indonesia, Belgium, Lithuania, Ireland and Seychelles were the new sovereign issuers.

Green bonds from sovereigns increase awareness of the country’s climate plan, and can help fund commitments under the Paris Agreement. It has been reported that Spain, the Netherlands, Hong Kong and Egypt could join the sovereign ranks this year.9,10,11,12

Sovereign and other public sector issuance is covered in more detail in the section on page 21 which highlights their role in greening public infrastructure and public services.

**EUR surpassed USD as the denomination of choice**

The preferred currency in 2018 was the Euro, representing 40% of the annual market by volume. In 2017, the US dollar was leading at 46% of annual volume.

This change is partly due to the drop in US municipal issuance (-70% in terms of volume, see page 21) as well as large-scale issuance from Eurozone sovereigns (France, Belgium, Ireland) and other European issuers (e.g. Poland, Norwegian covered bond issuers).

The 5 most common currencies in 2017 accounted for 94% of the annual volume. In 2018, the share of the top 5 decreased to 91%.

Chinese Renminbi remains the 3rd most popular denomination currency, as a result of continued robust green bond market growth on China’s domestic market.

In 2018, 6 new currencies featured in the green bond market: CLF, ISK, KRW, NAD and THB. This is a sign of continuing diversification and appeal to a wider range of domestic issuers. For instance, South Korean issuers had previously issued only in hard currency, but in 2018 the first local currency green bond deal emerged. Greater local volumes of local currency issuance can help improve the visibility of domestic markets to foreign green bond investors.
Average green bond size was highest in 2018

Over time, green bonds have exhibited a growth in average deal size. This is positive as larger deals can provide more liquidity and depth to the market, and thus attract additional investors.

Average green bond deal size in 2018 was USD107m, slightly up from 2017 when it was USD104m. However, the trend is more pronounced, when Fannie Mae deals are excluded, as nearly all the agency’s issues are up to USD100m. Excluding Fannie Mae, the average size of deals issued in 2018 was USD320m, or the same as 2017, but higher than the USD294m on the 2015 average of USD184m.

A similar trend is observed with median deal size. At USD129m in 2018, it is a bit lower than in 2017 (USD138m) but higher than in 2016 (USD117m) and 2.6x the USD50m of 2015. The growth in the median size indicates that more issuers are issuing larger deals, whereas the growth in average size is driven more significantly by the volume of large-bond deals.

In 2018, 24 issuers closed green bonds of USD1bn or more. The largest single deal of 2018 came from the Kingdom of Belgium: EUR4.5bn (USD5.5bn). In terms of issuer type, the largest green bond issuers tend to be financial corporates (China predominantly), and sovereigns (Belgium, France, Indonesia, Ireland and Poland).

Supranationals (‘Global’ in the graph) had the highest median deal size (USD169m), followed by Asia-Pacific issuers (USD146m). Median deals size is below USD100m in Latin America, North America and Africa. In emerging markets this is perhaps not surprising. For North America, Fannie Mae’s green MBS made up 93% of the region’s 2018 deal count and their median size was USD14m. Even excluding Fannie Mae deals, the median bond size was USD100m as US Muni issuance is also often at the low end.

All in all, a significant number of smaller deals have come to market across regions. This demonstrates that entities with lower funding requirements and/or those issuing green bonds for the first time can also get access to capital markets funding.

More information on support mechanisms and risk sharing, which can help smaller issuers come to market, is provided in our ASEAN Green Financial Instruments Guide.13 Despite being somewhat tailored to ASEAN stakeholders, most of these instruments can be used in other parts of the world too.

2018 green bonds were shorter-dated

In 2018, bonds within the ‘Up to 5Y’ range made up the largest tenor category, while the ‘5-10Y’ bracket was the largest in 2017, with a quarter of the annual issuance volume. 2018 deals with a 5-10Y tenor amounted to a similar volume as last year, but represented 37% of annual issuance as long-dated deals of 20Y or more decreased in volume.

This preference towards short-dated bonds is partly associated with the increased issuance from commercial banks, which tend to issue bonds with a short tenor. In addition, they were popular with government-backed entities and non-financial corporates: a shift away from the 2015-2017 trends, when they favoured the ’5-10Y’ tenor range. Finally, green bond issuance from US municipalities dropped in 2018 compared to 2017, and as these deals tend to be longer-dated, their decreased share reinforced the trend.

One could also possibly attribute part of the shift to higher market volatility and rising interest rates in 2018. Short-duration strategy is a way of reducing exposure to interest rate risk.
Securitisation remained popular due to Green MBS

Senior unsecured bonds are the most common green bond format, but the market has seen an increasing diversification of other bond types. Securitisation remained the second largest bond format, driven by the USD20bn issued by Fannie Mae.

However, in 2018, there was a notable surge in popularity for covered bonds, MTNs, sukuk and green loans.

Covered bonds / Pfandbriefe

Covered bond issuance rose from USD1.1bn in 2017 to USD6bn. Anchored in the German green bond market since 2015, green covered bonds got a boost in 2018 as two large Norwegian mortgage banks and a Swedish land mortgage bank entered the market. This diversified cover pools into low-carbon housing and sustainable forestry. Building on 2018 trends, Swedish mortgage bank SCB’s issued its debut green covered bond in January 2019.

Covered bonds are highly-regulated securities with superior credit ratings. They achieve lower funding cost than unsecured debt thanks to a dual recourse structure where bond investors have a general claim against the issuer, as well as a claim over a dedicated ‘cover’ pool of assets. Cover pool composition is closely monitored.

MTN

Issuance under Medium-Term Note (MTN) facilities went from USD1.4bn in 2017 to USD6.6bn in 2018. Fabege (Sweden) created the first green MTN program in 2016. Originally mostly popular amongst Swedish issuers, green bonds issued under a green or mixed MTN expanded their reach to other markets. In 2018, the green bond market saw the issuance of the first ASEAN Green MTN Facility by Malaysian JV Segi Astana.

MTN structures are a valuable tool as they can be deployed by repeat issuers to facilitate access to the market and lower issue costs. They can be structured to allow for a variety of currency denominations and debt formats to increase their flexibility.

Sukuk

Securities Commission Malaysia revised its Islamic Securities Guidelines in 2014 to provide standards for socially responsible investment (SRI). This laid the ground for the first green sukuk, which came from Malaysia in 2017. Sukuk issuance doubled from USD7.5bn in 2017 to USD14bn last year.

Six entities have used sukuk to finance climate projects. Republic of Indonesia has now closed two multi-sector deals (USD2bn): one in 2018 and a second in 2019. In Malaysia, Permodalan Nasional Berhad issued a green sukuk (MYR1.9bn / USD461m) to finance low-carbon buildings, while Quantum Solar Park, Mudajaya Group, Tadau Energy and UiTM Solar Power raised funding for solar.

Green secured debt

Overall, about a quarter (23%) of 2018 green bond issuance relates to deals with ringfenced collateral or access to a cover pool. In addition, to the benefit of security, these deals provide investors with certainty around allocations as the collateral pool is defined pre-issuance and is known at closing. This may also be the case for unsecured bonds occasionally, but the vast majority of unsecured bonds only indicate the eligible categories at issuance.

In 2018, National Australia Bank created a secured debt product which gives investors a participation share in and security over a loan portfolio. The approach is similar to loan syndication, but it involves a portfolio, making the structure suitable for aggregation.

Top 5 bond types in the 2018 green bond market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond type</th>
<th>Amount issued</th>
<th>% 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior unsecured</td>
<td>98bn</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS/MBS</td>
<td>24.6bn</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior secured</td>
<td>6.8bn</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered bond</td>
<td>6bn</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan</td>
<td>5.1bn</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New senior bond formats emerging to address new bank capital management rules

New bond formats emerged for green bonds in Europe over the last few years. Credit Agricole CIB issued a EUR1bn green bond in senior preferred unsecured debt format. BNP Paribas, BBVA and Commerzbank issued green bonds in non-preferred senior (NPS) unsecured debt format. NPS vanilla bonds have been widely used by French banks since 2016, by Spanish and Belgian banks in 2017, and were introduced in Italy and Germany in 2018.

A new EU Directive adopted in December 2017 has enabled EU banks, large investments firms and group companies to issue ‘senior non-preferred’ debt instruments. The law modifies the hierarchy of claims in case of resolution and allows the creation of the new class of NPS instruments between subordinated debt and senior unsecured debt (i.e. the preferred senior debt). There has been a positive response of the market as this instrument complies to the new requirement for loss-absorbing liabilities, and BBVA issued the first green NPS bond in May 2018.

Labelled green loans

Green loans finance green assets. The Loan Market Association (LMA) published the Green Loan Principles in March 2018 specifically to support and encourage green lending.

Green loans increased from USD3.1bn in 2017 to USD5.1bn in 2018, with high uptake in Spain, Singapore and USA. Real estate entities issued 32% of green loans by amount, followed by the energy sector (24%). Loans were also used to finance certified paper manufacturers and waste management projects.

In 2019, the IFC announced it will adopt GLP to help spur the growth of the USD33bn green loan market.

Repacks such as Fannie Mae REMICs

Sometimes green debt instruments are bundled together to create new securities, thus expanding investment product options for investors, or are refinanced back-to-back with green bonds, e.g. in the context of a development bank supporting local currency issuance in an emerging market. To avoid double-counting, CBI maintains a separate tally of repack deals.

The largest issuer so far is Fannie Mae. It issues multi-tranche securitisations, called REMICs, with tranches secured on Green MBS. To date, it has issued USD7.1bn in nine REMICs – five Green REMICs, the rest as green tranches in wider deals.
Almost 90% of deals have external reviews

89% of green bonds issued in 2018 (by amount) received at least one external review. Second party opinions remain the preferred option. Certification under the Climate Bonds Standard is the second largest category. Green bonds ratings are gaining ground and are now provided by global rating agencies Moody’s and S&P, 9 agencies in China, R&I and ICR in Japan, and RAM in Malaysia.

CICERO was the leading provider of external reviews in 2018, representing 28% of deals by volume and 81% by count. CICERO’s high market share in terms of deal numbers can be explained by the fact that Fannie Mae issued more than 1,000 green MBS in 2018 with a CICERO SPO. In turn, these green MBS accounted for 75% of deals with an external review in 2018.

Sustainalytics was the second largest. It helped the 43 new issuers with USD27bn of deal volume enter the market.

In 2018, European issuers accounted for 56% of Certified Climate Bonds by volume, followed by Asia-Pacific issuers at 39%. This is a regional shift from 2017, where Asia-Pacific was the largest category (50%), in front of North America (28%).

14% of green bonds issued in 2018 by volume were awarded Certification under the Climate Bonds Standard (46 deals totalling USD23.3bn). Sustainalytics was the top Approved Verifier under the Climate Bonds Standard, both in terms of deal count and volume.

The top 3 Certified issuers in 2018 were:

- **ING**: USD3bn. About half the proceeds will finance or refinance loans to 88 onshore and offshore wind farms, whilst 15% will fund 29 solar farms. The remainder will finance buildings with an Energy Performance Certificate Label A and emissions performance within the top 15% of the Dutch market.19

- **Société du Grand Paris**: USD22bn. Proceeds from this bond will be used to finance the construction of almost 200km of new metro lines and extensions to supplement the 400km of existing lines in the Ile-de-France region. The deal was the first Certified Climate Bond of a EUR30bn programme.20

- **DNB Boligkreditt**: USD1.7bn. Proceeds will finance or refinance new and existing mortgages on housing which comply with the Norwegian building codes 2010 (TEK10) or 2017 (TEK17). This corresponds to the top 7% of Norwegian housing in terms of energy performance.21

There was a slight increase in green bonds without an external review in 2018, both by amount and deal count. Whilst in 2017, bonds from repeat issuers were more likely not to have an external review, in 2018, it was more prevalent among debut issuers, especially from the US and China.

Whilst it is common for US municipalities not to have an external review, 2018 differed in-so-far-as debut Chinese green bonds issuers, across the board, were less likely to have one. For instance, Chinese entities issuing green bonds on the onshore interbank market are less likely to get an external review. This represents a challenge for the market in terms of pre- and post-issuance transparency, especially if the information is only available in the local language and there is limited information in English.

An increasing number of issuers without an external review are providing the similar information to what would go into a green bond framework in the offer prospectus. As prospectus disclosure is reviewed by legal counsel, technical advisors and auditors, this provides a degree of comfort on green claims. Notwithstanding, this a separate external review would strengthen disclosure.

External reviews

External reviews from an independent party confirm alignment with the GBP/GLP and/or compliance with the Climate Bonds Standard. The most common forms of external review are:

- **Assurance**: confirmation of compliance with GBP.
- **Second party opinion**: assessment of the issuer’s green bond framework, confirming GBP compliance
- **Green bond rating/evaluation**: evaluation against a third-party rating methodology, which considers the environmental aspects of the investment (separately from credit ratings).
- **Verification for Certified Climate Bonds**: third-party verification, pre- and post-issuance, which confirms that assets adhere to the Climate Bonds Standard and Sector Criteria.

For more, see Appendix 1, page 26.

Certified Climate Bonds

Issuers can certify green issuance under the Climate Bonds Standard. Certification confirms that the bond is aligned to the Paris Agreement, i.e. to keeping global warming under 2°C.

A third-party Approved Verifier assesses the assets to confirm compliance with Climate Bonds Standard and sector-specific Criteria. Post-issuance, the issuer must obtain a post issuance verification annually to maintain its Certified status.
**Post-issuance reporting in the green bond market**

In 2018, CBI undertook research into post-issuance reporting practices for the second time. The findings are summarised and analysed in our study *Post-issuance reporting in the green bond market*, published in March 2019, and compared to the previous findings set out in our inaugural report from June 2017.22

The analysis covers post-issuance reporting data for 1,927 bonds issued prior to November 2017 by 369 issuers. The purpose of this research is twofold. It pivots on assessing whether issuers are reporting, but it also provides an update on the allocation of proceeds that are recorded on CBI green bond database.

The percentage of reporting varies between use-of-proceeds (UoP) and impact metrics and depends on whether the independent variable used is the number of bonds, the number of issuers or the issued amount (in USD) of outstanding bonds.

The proportion of reporting is 77% by bond count and 87% by amount, i.e. in line with previous findings (74%, 88%), if the prolific issuance from Fannie Mae is excluded. Fannie Mae deals are secured on green collateral from issuance, so they do not provide UoP reporting, but do report on impacts. This influences the overall (unadjusted) figures, as summarised in the table below.

The study considers year of issue, issuer type, country, bond size and the use of external review as variables in order to draw inferences from the use-of-proceeds and impact reporting data. CBI also developed a reporting quality scoring system for post-issuance UoP reporting, based on aspects of information clarity, granularity and reliability.

### Key findings

1. Two-thirds of issuers provide post-issuance UoP reporting, more issuers report on allocations than on environmental impact. Almost 50% of issuers report on both UoP and impact.

2. 93% of bonds, where issuers committed to reporting at issuance, did in fact report. 33% of bonds, where there was no commitment, also reported.

3. Larger issuers tend to report: the reporting percentage based on amount issued is considerably higher than number of issuers. Benchmark-size bonds (USD500m or more) are more likely to have reporting.

4. The reporting percentage is higher for deals with an external review post-issuance. When the external review is at issuance, e.g. SPO, the correlation is also positive but is weaker.

5. Despite having the largest set of issuers reporting UoP (52), the USA is not the country with most reporting by amount issued: China ranks higher. This is linked to the large number of bank issuers, who are required to report on green bonds quarterly.

6. Countries with large green bond markets tend to have high levels of reporting, and most large markets have reporting levels of 90% or more.

7. While many issuers report impacts and greenhouse gases emission reductions are reported most often, there is little consistency with over 200 metrics and many different frameworks used by issuers.

### The percentage of green bond reporting varies significantly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting</th>
<th>Number of bonds</th>
<th>Number of issuers</th>
<th>Amount issued (USDbn)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Non-reporting</td>
<td>% Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of proceeds</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>1,514</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The largest green bond markets tend to have high levels of reporting

![Graph showing the largest green bond markets with high levels of reporting (USA, France, etc.).](image-url)

**Note:** Reporting is classified as any form of post-issuance reporting, i.e. reporting either use of proceeds or impacts, or both.

---

**Green bonds: The state of the market 2018 Climate Bonds Initiative**
Developed markets in 2018: strong performance from sovereigns and financial institutions

A quick take on the 2018 market

- **USD115bn issued**, or 69% of the overall 2018 green bond market (2017: USD115.5bn)
- **Top 3 issuers**: Republic of France (USD6bn), Kingdom of Belgium (USD5.5bn) and National Treasury Management Agency (USD3.5bn)
- **Top 3 countries**: USA (USD34.1bn), France (USD14.1bn) and Germany (USD7.6bn)
- **Top 3 currencies**: EUR (USD57bn, or 50% of DM green bond volume), USD (USD38.4bn, 33%) and SEK (USD6.4bn, 6%)
- 93% of volume in hard currency
- 199 deals excluding Fannie Mae, of which 23% were benchmark size
- 39% of volume was from debut bonds (32% in 2017)

This section focuses on developed markets, whereby we use MSCI’s market classification to categorize markets.24

Financials accounted for a quarter of 2018 volume

Whilst DM green bond volumes did not change much from 2017 to 2018, the composition changed. In 2018, financial corporates accounted for 25% of DM issuance. ING (USD2.3bn), Bank of America (USD3.5bn) and DNB Boligkreditt AS (USD1.7bn) were the top 3 financial corporate issuers.

Despite lower issuance from the USA, France and Germany, the countries kept their lead. A number of DM markets saw their year-on-year issuance surge: fivefold for Norway, 4.6x for Hong Kong, double or nearly-double for Singapore, Austria, the Netherlands, the UK and Australia. Sovereign issuance from Belgium and Ireland contributed to improving their global ranking and propelled Belgium into the Top 10.

Portugal appeared in the rankings thanks to the first green bond from electricity company EDP.

DM issuance continues to be dominated by Europe. The gap between the leader and runner up, North America, widened due to the retreat of US green bond issuance (-26%). Asia-Pacific’s growth was primarily fuelled by Australia, Japan and Singapore.

EUR became the dominant DM currency in 2018

EUR was the most often used denomination currency last year, a clear shift from USD leadership in 2017. Fannie Mae and US Munis accounted for the biggest drop in USD-denominated deals. The Euro picked up thanks to issuance from financial institutions. Other currencies were also on the rise in 2018: deals in Swedish Krona doubled in volume, the ones in Canadian dollars nearly tripled.

DM average bond size increased compared to 2017, going from USD320m to USD351m, excluding Fannie Mae deals. Sovereign and financial institutions accounted for the main increase of volume in the ‘USD1bn or more’ bond size category.

2018 witnessed a significant increase in the proportion of deals of USD1bn or more among debut issuers, while their share remained about the same for repeat issuers. It is also worth noting that the share of benchmark-sized deals coming from debut issuers is significantly higher than the 50/50 split for repeat issuers. Issuance from new sovereign and financial institution issuers contributes to that too.

---

**Top 10 DM country ranking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018 Rank</th>
<th>Country of risk</th>
<th>2018 issuance</th>
<th>Change v 2017</th>
<th>% DM issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>↓ 34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>↓ 17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>↓ 9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>↑ 6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>↑ 2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>↑ 5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>↑ 5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>↑ 3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>↑ 3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>↑ 3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corporate bonds represent 45% of the market**

**EUR became the dominant DM currency in 2018**

**2018 debut issuers closed more 10-digit bond deals**
The average tenor for repeat issuers increased from 12.4 years in 2017 to 13.1 years in 2018. However, debut bonds in 2018 saw a sharp decline in their average tenor (24.7 years in 2017 versus 10.8 years the following year).

More broadly, sovereigns had the strongest preference for long-dated bonds in 2018, followed by ABS issuers (driven again by Fannie Mae). Development banks showed a strong preference for medium term bonds of 5-10 years, while most green loans had an term of up to 5 years at origination.

Almost 90% of deals have an external review

Mirroring global trends, green bonds without any external review increased from 5% in 2017 to 11% in 2018 for DM volumes. This is primarily due to new and repeat issuance from US municipalities. Second party opinions remained the preferred external review type with CICERO leading the way.

16% of DM green bond market volume was Certified Climate Bonds (+13% over 2017). The leading country was the Netherlands (USD5.1bn), followed by Norway (USD3bn). This is a noticeable shift from 2017, where the USA topped rankings (at USD6.2bn), ahead of France (USD2.5bn). It also explains the shift from the preponderance of local government being awarded Certification in 2017 (exclusively from the US) to more than half of the Certified market segment originating from financial institutions in 2018.

Climate-aligned issuers could issue green bonds

CBI’s Bonds and Climate Change: The State of the Market 2018 identifies issuers who generate at least 75% of their revenues from ‘green’ business: low-carbon transport, clean energy, sustainable water and wastewater management, low-carbon buildings and built environment, sustainable forestry and agriculture, as well as waste management and recycling. These climate-aligned issuers provide an avenue to increase labelled green issuance.

A number of climate-aligned issuers are already green bond issuers. SNCF Reseau is using Programmatic Certification for its green bonds, a streamlined process for repeat issuers to obtain Certification under the Climate Bonds Standard for multiple issues. Other repeat green bond issuers are French electricity company EDF and English utility Thames Water, which has raised USD1bn for London’s new super-sewer through its financing vehicle, Bazalgette Finance.

Green bonds can be used to raise funding for new business investment. Maturing bonds also present a green labelling opportunity at refinancing. Labelling can, further, highlight a company’s ambitions in building a climate-resilient economy.

Transport, energy and water amongst Top 3 DM climate-aligned sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Climate-aligned sector</th>
<th>USD aligned outstanding</th>
<th>% of DM climate-aligned market</th>
<th>Climate-aligned issuers</th>
<th>Largest issuer(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>257bn</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>SNCF, Network Rail, Deutsche Bahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>128bn</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>EDF, Hydro-Québec, NextEra Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>68bn</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Suez, Veolia, Thames Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td>29bn</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>International Paper, WestRock, Mondi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>4bn</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Covanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>2bn</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Norbord</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: All data as of mid-2018. USD figures rounded to 1bn
Emerging markets in 2018:
A big leap forward in Asia-Pacific

Climate change and consequent environmental disasters pose significant threats to developing countries. The shift to sustainable systems, climate-resilient infrastructure and the delivery on sustainable development goals (SDGs) are recognised as an imperative and part of the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Green bonds have proven to be an effective financial instrument to finance low-carbon assets. Historically, most green bonds have been used to finance climate-change mitigation measures such as investment in renewable energy to reduce the use of fossil fuels for power generation, low-carbon buildings and clean transport.

Over the last two years, the financing of climate-change adaptation and resilience projects has also gained increased attention, particularly as the incidence of extreme weather events and related disasters have highlighted the vulnerability to flooding, wildfires and droughts. Research into the financial implications of such climate-related risks is pointing to economic vulnerabilities, too.

Issuance from emerging markets has gained traction

The first emerging markets (EM) green bonds were issued in South Africa in 2012. EM green bond issuance now accounts for about a quarter of total issuance to date. Emerging markets are identified according to MSCI’s classification throughout this report.

China’s entry in 2016 and push in 2017 boosted overall EM issuance. China has the largest green bond market and accounts for over 70% of the total EM issuance to date, and about the same in 2018.

Issuance from other EM countries remains fairly small compared to global volume. However, the number of new issuers entering the market has increased over the past five years. It reached 85 debut issuers in 2018 or 42% of the global total, which is a significant achievement given the relatively small size of most EM bond markets.

Asia-Pacific market growth underpinned by adoption of green bond guidelines

Green bonds have gained momentum with the wide uptake of capital markets regulations on green bond issuance. This is particularly evident in EM countries from Asia.

China’s Green Bond Guidelines, published by the People’s Bank of China (PBoC) in 2015, were amongst the first guidelines to be released with the objective to improve market integrity. The PBoC released the Green Bond Endorsed Project Catalogue, an comprehensive official list of the types of projects eligible for financing via green bonds, to be used by financial institutions and the NDRC released guidance for non-financial issuers. A series of measures implemented since then to stimulate market growth have since helped supporting issuance of green bonds in China.

China accounts for 18% of total global issuance and is the second largest market of 2018 with over USD30bn of issuance. More analysis is available in our China Green Bond Market 2018 report.

India has the second largest EM green bond market, with a total of USD7.2bn issued to date. A number of government agencies have contributed significantly to issuance, among them the Indian Renewable Energy Development Agency (IREDA) and the Indian Railway Finance Corporate (IRFC). In 2018, State Bank of India entered the market with a USD650m Certified Climate Bond, the first of a USD3bn issuance programme. For more analysis see our India Country Briefing.
In 2017, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) released the Disclosure Requirements for Issuance and Listing of Green Debt Securities, which sets guidelines for issuing green bonds. To support the development of a green bond market, the India Green Bond Council was established by the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI) with the support of the Climate Bonds Initiative.

In the ASEAN region, the ASEAN Green Bond Standards (AGBS) catalysed green bond issuance in 2018. The momentum has carried over into 2019 with one new issuer from the Philippines becoming a repeat issuer in short order and the Republic of Indonesia coming to market with a second sovereign sukuk.

ASEAN is an association of 10 countries and six of them have seen green bond issuance: Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam. AGBS is supported by the ASEAN Capital Markets Forum, a cooperation platform for the ten financial sector regulators, and provides a common framework for green bond issuance, which should facilitate market transparency.

The world’s first green sukuk was issued from Malaysia’s Tadawul Energy (MYR250m/USD58m), and the first green sovereign sukuk by the Republic of Indonesia (USD1.25bn).

Indonesia has the largest green bond market in ASEAN, with 39% of total regional issuance. Singapore and Malaysia follow with shares of 35% and 19% respectively. Issuance from the six ASEAN markets is dominated by non-financial corporates (30% of the regional total). More analysis is available in our ASEAN Green Finance State of the Market 2018 report.

The region has also seen issuance from the Middle East, Taiwan, South Korea and the Island nations of Fiji and Seychelles.

South Korea entered the green bond market in 2013, with the first issuance coming from the state development bank Export-Import Bank of Korea, as covered in our Korea report. Development bank issuance dominates the green bond market, with cumulative issuance from both the Export-Import Bank of Korea and the Development Bank of Korea accounting for almost 50% of total volumes (USD3.8bn). Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power is the second largest issuer in the country, having issued a total of USD600m.

Taiwan entered the market in 2014, and USD1.2bn worth of green bonds have been placed by Taiwanese issuers. Non-financial corporates dominate in terms of cumulative volumes, accounting for almost 80% of total issuance.

Green bond issuance from the Middle East is very limited, with only two deals in the United Arab Emirates and Lebanon. The National Bank of Abu Dhabi issued a USD587m in 2017, while Lebanese Fransabank SAL sold its first green bond (USD60m) as a private placement to IFC and EBRD to raise funds for lending on renewable energy, energy efficiency and green buildings.

Island nations Fiji and Seychelles have both issued a sovereign green bond, with the Republic of Seychelles coming to the market with the first sovereign blue bond. A blue bond finances projects that support marine reserves and sustainable fisheries, which also classify as green assets. These two issuances are particularly significant for island nations as they are particularly exposed to longer-term climate-related risks such as rising sea levels and extreme weather.

Soft 2018 but high potential in Latin America

Issuance from Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) slowed down in 2018 compared to a record-breaking 2017, mainly due to lower issuance from Brazil. Brazilian issuers contributed over 60% of total Asia-Pacific issuance (ex China) has remained strong

ASEAN Green Bond Standards are aligned with the four core components of the Green Bond Principles. The key additional features of the AGBS include:

- eligible issuers must have a geographical or economic connection to the region
- fossil fuel power generation projects are excluded
- continuous accessibility to information for investors
- more frequent reporting is encouraged
- external review providers are expected to demonstrate relevant expertise (external reviews are voluntary)

ASEAN issuance surged in 2018

30 Certified Climate Bonds from Asian EM issuers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Bonds</th>
<th>Amount (USD m)</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3,852</td>
<td>Solar, Wind, Low Carbon Transport, Low Carbon Buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10,499</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Geothermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Solar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data as of 31 December 2018. Two issues were Certified in the Philippines in 2019 (see page 17).
LAC issuance in 2017, but the climate of uncertainty around the 2018 Brazilian general elections might have affected green bond issuance volumes last year.

2018 has, on the other hand, witnessed a debut deal from Uruguay. Atlas Renewable Energy issued a USD108.2m two-tranche green private placement. There were also new issuers from Peru, Chile, Colombia and Brazil.

While not included in Latin America but North America figures, a 2018 deal from Mexican state-backed entity FIRA is worth highlighting. FIRA is an agriculture financing agency and it issued the first Certified Climate Bond to exclusively finance protected agriculture projects. The MXN2.5bn (USD130m) green bond sets the landscape for a new market to foster the expansion of more sustainable agriculture practices across Latin America.

The development of green bond guidelines by the Santiago and Lima stock exchanges in 2018 aims to promote and facilitate green bond issuance in Chile and Peru.

European EM issuance set a record in 2018

Poland was the first country to issue a sovereign green bond in December 2016, and it issued a second sovereign green bond in February 2018. As of the time of writing, Poland had issued EUR1.7bn (USD22bn) and was in the market with a further EUR2bn green bond. This sends a strong signal to the market about the country’s ambition towards meeting its decarbonisation strategy.

Issuance from Central and Eastern Europe also came from the Baltics and Slovenia. Lithuania placed the first tranche (EUR20m, USD24m) of its sovereign green bond programme with proceeds earmarked for energy efficiency upgrades to around 160 apartment buildings. State-backed Lietuvos Energija issued its first green bond in 2017, becoming a repeat issuer in 2018 (cumulative: USD695m). Proceeds from both transactions have been allocated across a number of sectors, including renewable energy, low-carbon buildings and waste.

Slovenia’s SID Bank, a national development bank, entered the market in 2018. According to the green bond framework, proceeds were allocated across a wide range of sectors, including renewable energy, buildings and transport, where all fossil fuel-based assets are specifically excluded. It is the second Slovenian entity to issue green bonds after private utility company Gen-I-Sonce in 2017.

2018 also witnessed the first issuance from Iceland. National utility company Landsvirkjun issuing a USD200m to exclusively finance renewable energy projects. The city of Reykjavik followed suit later in the year, issuing ISK4.1bn (USD33m). 2019 started with new issuer, Reykjavik Energy, entering the market with a ISK3.5bn (USD25m) deal. The green bond is listed on the Nasdaq Iceland Sustainable Bond market and will finance an array or renewable energy related projects, including carbon capture at its geothermal plant.

Green bonds in emerging markets - an overview

This section provides an overview of green bond issuance in emerging markets. China, however, is excluded from this overview. As it accounts for more than 70% of EM green bond issuance, Chinese deals crowd out smaller markets and skew figures. For more details on the green bond market in China, please see our latest China report. Here, we analyse the rest of the EM market.

Non-financial corporates are the most popular issuer type

Issuance of green bonds in emerging markets comes from a well-diversified series of issuers. A total of 206 entities have entered the market, with 85 debut issuers in 2018.

Top 10 EM issuers (cumulative 2012-2018, ex-China)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issuer</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Amount (USDm)</th>
<th>Sector funded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Poland</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,023</td>
<td>Energy, buildings, land use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenko Investment co</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export-Import Bank of Korea</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>Energy, buildings, transport, water, waste, industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Indonesia</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>Energy, buildings, transport, waste, land use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzano Papel e Celulose</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>Energy, buildings, water, land use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNDES</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibria</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Energy, water, waste, land use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lietuvos Energija</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>Energy, transport, waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IREDA</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Development Corporation</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-financial corporates dominate the market, having issued a third of the total volumes, as of year-end 2018. Almost half the issuers came from the energy sector, followed by forestry and paper companies (27% of cumulative issuance). The rest came from industry, property and transport companies.

Government-backed entities, local governments and sovereigns account for almost 40% of cumulative issuance. To date, six EM countries have issued sovereign green bonds for a total of USD3.4bn. Republic of Seychelles issued the first sovereign blue bond (USD15m) in 2018, while Indonesia issued the first green sovereign sukuk (USD1.25bn). Nigeria was the first, and so far only, African state to issue a sovereign green bond (USD30m).

Proceeds from sovereign green bonds have been primarily earmarked to finance renewable energy, transport and land use, each representing 25% of the total share. Adaptation and buildings follow with 10% each, while waste takes 5% of the total share. Sovereigns have earmarked a higher share of proceeds towards adaptation compared to other issuer types in EM, with the Republic of Indonesia and the Republic of Fiji allocating a total of USD321m. Investing towards adaptation is particularly significant in EM as developing countries are exposed to higher climate-related risks, and hopefully 2019 will see an increase towards the sector.

After non-financial corporates, government-backed entities are the second most common issuer type in EM representing 22% of the total share. Top five issuers are Lietuvos Energija (Lithuania), IREDA (India), the State Bank of India, Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power and the National Bank of Abu Dhabi.

Development banks also represent a significant share of the market, having contributed almost 20% of EM issuance. BNDES (Brazil), Export-Import Bank of Korea, NAFIN (Mexico), Korea Development Bank and Banco Nacional de Costa Rica are the top 5 development banks, having issued a cumulative volume of USD3.5bn.

Financial corporates account for 10% of the market. Issuance mainly comes from commercial banks, with Axis Bank (India), Nedbank (South Africa), Yes Bank (India) and Bancolombia (Colombia) representing the top 5 issuers. Commercial banks contributed USD2.7bn to the green bond market.

ABS issuance in emerging markets (excluding China) remains very limited: one Agricultural Credit Rights (CRA) deal from Brazilian company Suzano Papel e Celulose. CRA deals are popular in Brazil, so we would expect to see at least more of these ABS deals.33

Clean energy dominates green bond allocations

EM issuers have allocated green bond proceeds to finance primarily renewable energy, with 52% of total proceeds earmarked for such investments. Allocations to low-carbon buildings are a distant second with 13%, while transport ranks third with 11%.

Sustainable land use is a key sector with 10% of total allocations and gaining particular importance over the last two years due to issuance from the certified pulp & paper industry in Brazil. Indonesian joint venture TLFF I became the first issuer to finance sustainable agriculture in early 2018 with a bond that used the blended finance approach – with public sector and private funding – to finance sustainable rubber plantations on heavily degraded land in two provinces in Indonesia, as well as afforestation and biodiversity conservation measures, and smallholder plots.

The first sovereign blue bond issued by the Republic of Seychelles is a landmark issuance. The bond, designed to finance sustainable marine and fisheries projects, is partially guaranteed by a USD5m

Non-financial corporates generated a third of total volume

Sovereign green bonds finance mainly renewable energy, land use and transport
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Clean energy dominates green bond allocations

EM issuers have allocated green bond proceeds to finance primarily renewable energy, with 52% of total proceeds earmarked for such investments. Allocations to low-carbon buildings are a distant second with 13%, while transport ranks third with 11%.

Sustainable land use is a key sector with 10% of total allocations and gaining particular importance over the last two years due to issuance from the certified pulp & paper industry in Brazil. Indonesian joint venture TLFF I became the first issuer to finance sustainable agriculture in early 2018 with a bond that used the blended finance approach – with public sector and private funding – to finance sustainable rubber plantations on heavily degraded land in two provinces in Indonesia, as well as afforestation and biodiversity conservation measures, and smallholder plots.

The first sovereign blue bond issued by the Republic of Seychelles is a landmark issuance. The bond, designed to finance sustainable marine and fisheries projects, is partially guaranteed by a USD5m
guarantee from the Work Bank (IBRD) and further supported by a USD5m concessional loan from GEF. The World Bank assisted in the development of the bond, which also attracted international investors, demonstrating the potential to harness capital markets for financing the sustainable use of marine resources.

Sustainable agriculture, forestry and fisheries are key sectors for many emerging markets.

External reviews are popular with EM issuers

Three quarters of EM green bonds by volume have at least one external review, of which 60% benefit from a second party opinion (SPO). In terms of issuance by number, 80% of deals benefit from at least one external review. The most popular SPO provider is Sustainalytics, having reviewed just below 35% of the deals, followed by CICERO (16%) and Vigeo Eiris (5%).

Around 15% (USD4.5bn) of bonds obtained a green bond rating. Moody’s is the most popular rating agency, having provided Green Bond Assessments on 90% of rated deal volume. S&P and RAM Holdings (Malaysia) follow with a very small share, 1% and 0.6% respectively. Five of the rated issuances have also obtained an SPO. These include both sovereign issuances from Poland, K-Water (South Korea) and Latvenergo As (Latvia).

Certification under the Climate Bonds Standard ensures that assets or projects financed by the verified green bond are compliant with the Paris Agreement. As of the end of 2018, excluding China, 18% of EM green bond volume had been certified under the Climate Bonds Standard and Certification Scheme. The uptake of Certification in emerging markets (ex-China) is higher than the global average (14% for 2018), and India is home to the highest share of Certified Climate Bonds (USD3.9bn) in emerging markets after China (USD10.5bn).

Over half of EM deals by volume have both use-of-proceeds and impact reporting

Emerging markets are often associated with lack of transparency. However, this is not the case for green bonds. CBI’s study on Post-issuance reporting in the green bond market (see Spotlight on page 9) shows that for EM deals issued prior to November 2017, 91% of green bond deals by amount issued had reporting in place, with China included in the figures.

Excluding China, 86% of EM deals by amount issued have post-issuance reporting in place, and 54% have both use-of-proceeds (UoP) and impact reporting. In fact, 58% of deals (by amount issued) for which there was no commitment to report at issuance, did in fact report. For deals where issuers only promised to report on use of proceeds, 43% of deals by amount issued have both UoP and impact reporting in place. This is all positive for building market credibility.

The term reporting is defined as publicly available post-issuance information on allocation of proceeds and/or environmental impacts, and loans are excluded. Figures may underestimate reporting levels as in private placement deals reporting is often only to the investors.

Tenors up to 5 years dominate for EM green bonds

More than 45% of issuance has a tenor of up to 5 years, followed by around 40% of bonds with a tenor of 10 years or less. Only a small share of the issuance has tenors above 10 years. Green bonds with up to 5-year tenors are popular across different issuer types, but are particularly favoured by financial institutions. This is in line with global trends. Non-financial corporates, on the other hand, seem to prefer slightly longer bond tenors. This is likely attributable to the long-term
nature of the assets they finance, but in many countries it is local governments, government-backed entities and sovereigns that have the longest-dated bonds.

**Over half of EM issuance is benchmark-sized**

Deals falling in the USD100-500m and USD500m-1bn size buckets account for 38% each of total issuance. Only 9% of deals are of up to USD100m, while 15% deals were sized at USD1bn or more.

On average, bond size tends to be smaller in emerging markets compared to developed countries, with a median value of USD108m for EM. However, deals of USD1bn+ started appearing in 2017 and have increased by 25% in 2018, which has pushed average deal size up. This trend of larger bond sizes has been developing in both emerging and developed markets, and it suggests rising investor acceptance. It is particularly positive to see it in EM countries.

**Almost 75% of EM issuance is in USD or EUR**

Green bond issuance in EM is dominated by hard currencies, perhaps, as an attempt to attract international investments. Sovereign green bonds are primarily issued in USD and EUR, except for Nigeria and Fiji, which issued in local currency. Development banks and government-backed entities have also issued in hard currencies, while the only ABS issuance from Brazil was issued in BRL as it targeted domestic investors.

Financial corporates also most often denominate their green bonds in US dollars. However, there is also issuance in South African Rand and Colombian Peso. Issuance in local currency indicates domestic demand, which in turn is supportive of green lending to the wider borrower universe, including smaller companies for whom it may be uneconomical to access the bond capital market directly.

**Multilateral development banks support issuers**

In many cases, local banks issue in USD in the context of private placements with multilateral development banks. In June 2018 TMB Bank became the first Thai commercial bank to issue a green bond (USD60m). The bond was sold as a private placement to IFC. TMB Bank is aiming to increase its green lending portfolio from THB9bn to THB15bn by 2023 with the support of IFC, and issue further green bonds to attract international investors. Similarly, Lebanese Fransabank SAL placed its USD60m green bond with IFC and EBRD.

In 2019, AC Energy, Philippines, issued two Certified Climate Bonds of USD225m and USD185m respectively. The first transaction was supported by the IFC with an anchor investment of USD75m to support the public placement. The second was a private placement, with ADB acting as one of the main investors with USD20m.

Supranationals also support local-currency issuance. In 2015 for instance, IFC issued a masala green bond to finance the investment in a domestic green bond issuance from Indian Yes Bank.

**Green Bonds are structurally diverse in EM too**

Last but note least, emerging markets have witnessed increased diversification in green bond structures. Unsecured bonds are the most common bond type, representing 33% of total volumes. However, at 26% each, sukuk issuance from Malaysia and Indonesia and private placements are also quite common. Both the Seychelles and Fiji sovereign issuance, as well as many EM bank deals have used private placements. The use of diverse structures underscores the ability to adopt and adapt the “green” label to local market circumstances and investor appetite.
The role of financial institutions: lend, underwrite, create new products, issue bonds and support

Financial institutions are integral to the market. Their green bond issuance is only part of the story. As structuring agents and underwriters, they actively support issuers in coming to market. Asset managers and stock exchanges provide the means to raise funding from investors.

Financial institutions as active green bond issuers

Green bond issuance from global financial institutions passed the USD100bn mark in 2018. South African Nedbank first entered the market in August 2012 with a green retail offering. A variety of institutions have issued green bonds: 127 as of the end of 2018.

Most issuance has been senior unsecured. Covered bonds are the second most issued bond type. Credit Agricole CIB used a synthetic ABS to the transfer risk of a mixed loan portfolio (including green project finance) and create capital capacity for green lending.

Early issuance from financial institutions was more varied in the allocation of proceeds. The mix has shifted to feature an ever-greater share of property financing. Banks have used mainly senior unsecured bonds to fund mortgage lending programmes for energy efficient homes (ABN AMRO, Barclays) and commercial properties (BerlinHyp, LBBW), but also RMBS (Obvion, NAB) and covered bonds with cover pools of commercial property (BerlinHyp, Deutsche Hypo) or housing (SpareBank 1, DNB Boligkreditt).

China is in pole position for financial corporate green bond issuance as the top 5 issuing entities are Chinese! Industrial Bank has already raised a whopping USD10bn in green bonds, followed by Bank of China (USD6.4bn) and Bank of Beijing (USD4.5bn).

2018 financial corporate issuance more than doubled from 2017, surging from USD22.9bn to USD49.2bn. Commercial banks fuelled the growth. In 2018 alone, 78 institutions issued 102 green bonds, with a median size of USD290m and an average deal size of USD482m. 65% of 2018 issuance was in hard currency. Banks in developed markets rarely issue below benchmark size (USD500m or more), but there are smaller deals, such as debut deals from local banks in emerging markets (e.g. Thailand, Lebanon, Namibia).

Commercial banks lead financial corporate green bond issuance but there is increasing sectoral diversity. For instance, CPPIB was the first pension fund in the world to issue a green bond in 2018 and closed its second green bond in February 2019. Asset managers such as Canadian Manulife, American Prologis and Australian Investa have also entered the green bond market. This is a welcome move as these entities fund real assets directly, channeling institutional money at scale.

The GLP and the green tagging of loans would facilitate a more targeted green financing approach across sectors. This in turn, could support further green bond issuance from banks, and hence continued market expansion with banks acting as aggregators and intermediaries between small borrowers and the capital markets.

Green – a new product range for corporate banking

Commercial banks now offer green products. In late 2017, Barclays launched a range of green corporate banking products, including loans, deposits, asset finance and innovation finance. In November 2018, Westpac launched its Green Tailored Deposit scheme for large investors (minimum investment of AUD1m). It will finance a pool of wind farm and low-carbon building assets that comply with the Climate Bonds Standard. The product garnered the interest of several municipalities looking for green investment opportunities.

Chinese banks have dominated financial corporates’ green bond issuance since 2016

Green investment funds keep increasing

At the time of writing, almost 50 dedicated green bond funds have been set up, including ten in 2018, to respond to increasing demand from a wide pool of investors. In 2018, Amundi, a global asset manager, set up Amundi Planet – Emerging Green One, a USD2bn green bond fund specifically to invest in issuance from emerging markets financial institutions with the aim of supporting local bond market development.

Local banks, in turn, are expected to engage in green lending across the real economy. In this manner, green bond funds can leverage their impact and facilitate the scaling up of the green finance market.

Green Bond ETFs: there are now 4 kids on the block

Exchange Traded Funds (ETF) are funds but can be traded on exchanges. They offer a liquid and transparent route for investors to gain exposure to financial markets. Transaction costs are low compared with buying the individual exposures. Green ETFs invest in green bonds.

At present, the green bond ETF market is small – due to the limited size of the green bond market – and counts four market entrants:

- **Lyor Green Bond ETF**, which is based on the Solactive Green Bond Index, launched at the end of February 2017 with a market cap of just over EUR5m. As of 12 February 2019, that had increased to EUR55m, so has grown eleven-fold.
- **VanEck Vectors Green Bond ETF** launched in March 2017 at USD5m and, as of 12 February 2019, had USD25.6m market cap. It includes only bonds identified as green by Climate Bonds and tracks the S&P Green Bond Select Index.
- **iShares Global Green Bond ETF** came to market in November 2018 with a market cap of USD25.1bn, which has since increased to USD25.7bn. The ETF tracks the Bloomberg MSCI Global Green Bond Index.
- **UC MSCI European Green Bond EUR UCITS ETF**, launched in December 2018, includes EUR-denominated investment grade green bonds issued in Europe. The initial market cap was USD20.3m and stands at USD20.1m as of 12 February 2019.

The early entry of these market funds will give them the benefit of track-record and penetration once the green bond market is big enough to support this area of investment on a larger scale.
11 of the top 15 2018 green bond underwriters have issued green bonds

**Green bond underwriters actively support issuance**

SEB, one of the top 20 green bond underwriters for 2018, acted as arranger for the World Bank’s first green bond in 2009, when the term “green bond” was coined.

Most green bond underwriters are also green bond issuers. Credit Agricole CIB is the top green bond underwriter of 2018 in the league table compiled jointly by Refinitiv and CBI. The institution is also the 12th largest green bond issuer with over 100 green bonds.

Likewise, Bank of China, the top emerging markets underwriter for 2018, is a green bond issuer both on the domestic and the offshore market, include Certified Climate Bonds.

**Stock exchanges can provide enhanced visibility**

Stock exchanges with dedicated green or sustainability bond segments increase green bond visibility and their listing requirements promote transparency and market integrity. This supports all investments.

Oslo Børs was the first stock exchange in the world to create a separate list for green bonds. Currently, 15 stock exchanges have a dedicated green bond segments.

Luxembourg Stock Exchange and the Luxembourg Green Exchange are the largest green bond listing venue. In addition to bonds, the exchange now also lists green bond funds.

Luxembourg Green Exchange was the No.1 green bond trading venue in 2018
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Spotlight on green retail products

Interest in sustainable investing among retail investors has been increasing steadily in recent years, with millennials taking a leading role in amplifying momentum. As the share of retail assets under management (AuM) grows, retail investors - i.e. individuals rather than institutions – could prove to be a key driver in directing capital flows to green projects, as well as pushing institutional investors to better address climate change risks.

In response to the burgeoning demand for environmentally-conscious investments, the range of green retail products available to both small and large retail investors is expanding.

Retail green bonds

The retail green bond market has been active for several years, with offerings from a diverse range of issuers and regions.

**Canada**: CoPower, the country’s first online platform for clean energy investing, has issued two retail green bonds backed by a diversified portfolio of loans to clean energy and energy efficiency projects across North America.

**Japan**: The Uridashi market has featured environmentally-themed bonds for a long time. This suggests strong interest in green investment products among Japanese retail investors. Retail green Uridashi issuers include supranationals, such as the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank, Norway’s local government financing agency Kommunalkrediten, as well as financial institutions such as Westpac Banking Group (Australia).

**New Zealand**: Contact Energy’s Certified Green Borrowing Programme also includes three retail bonds totalling NZD472m (USD356m). They support geothermal energy generation.

**South Africa**: Nedbank came to market in 2012 with a ZAR4bn (USD481m) Green Savings Bond. The funds were earmarked for renewable energy projects. The bond was targeted at retail clients by allowing investments from as little as ZAR1,000 (USD122) and the returns guaranteed by the issuer.

**United Kingdom**: Retail mini-bonds have become popular, with investors showing appetite for deals financing primarily solar and wind projects. Big60 Million, now part of Innogy (Germany), issued four Certified Climate Bonds in 2014/15 to refinance the cost of developing and constructing four solar projects.

**United States of America**: In 2014, SolarCity (now Tesla Energy), best known for its Solar ABS, issued a USD200m retail secured bond, with investments starting at USD1,000.

Other issuers that have tapped into the retail green bond market include US Munis such as New York Metropolitan Transport Authority (NY) and Bay Area Rapid Transit (CA), local governments such as Auckland Council (New Zealand), and corporates including Advanced SoTech Sweden AB (Sweden).

Crowdfunding platforms

Crowdfunding has gained traction as a funding model for new ventures in recent years. It is increasingly used to source capital for climate-related projects. Several well-established crowdfunding platforms, such as Indiegogo and Kickstarter, support green entrepreneurs by offering dedicated environmentally-themed segments. Other platforms have fully specialised in green ventures, with renewable energy being a popular area of focus.

California-based Mosaic is an interesting case of a company which has evolved its business model. It commenced operations in 2011 as a crowdfunding platform to allow investors to fund community solar projects. In 2014, it started offering residential solar loans, and later expanded to include home batteries and energy efficiency upgrades. Mosaic has capitalized on the green securitization market to refinance its loans, and has closed five Solar ABS deals to date, totaling USD1.2bn.

There are also opportunities for investing in low-carbon energy projects in developing countries. SunFunder started operating as a financial intermediary in 2012, with the aim of providing financing for solar assets located in emerging markets. The company connects investors to vetted solar businesses working on the ground in Africa, Latin America, Asia and the Caribbean.

Crowdfunding trends have started to influence green and ethical banks’ business models. In early 2018, Triodos Bank launched its own crowdfunding platform enabling UK residents to directly fund renewable energy projects.

Low-carbon funding platforms are not exclusively limited to renewable energy. Abundance Investment is a UK-based online investment platform that enables investors to fund companies and projects within the energy, energy efficiency and housing sectors at different stages of development. Investments can be made both directly, in the form of tradable debentures, as well as an Individual Savings Account (ISA), which offers tax advantages.

Green banking services and investment products

A number of banks have started offering or expanding their green and sustainable banking services to address demand from customers who want their money to be invested or lent only to companies meeting certain screening criteria. Banking products include green deposit accounts, savings accounts, ISAs, funds, lending and mortgages.

Dutch Triodos Bank was a pioneer in the ethical banking sector, having started to offer sustainable financial products in 1980. As of the end of 2017, the bank had EUR15bn AUM and directed 52.3% of loans and investments exclusively to environmental projects.

Further, Triodos Investment Management offers fund investment opportunities for both institutional and individual investors in 6 European countries and the USA. investment themes include “energy and climate”, “sustainable food and agriculture” and “sustainable real estate”.

German direct bank UmweltBank AG is an example of a bank fully specialised in funding green projects related to renewable energy, green buildings and organic farming. The bank has set out a list of eligibility and exclusionary criteria as part of its screening process.

In India, YES BANK set up its first green deposit in May 2018. Proceeds raised through the accounts will be earmarked to fund SDG-aligned sectors.

In mid-2018, 37 of Europe’s leading banks, including BNP Paribas (France), ING Bank (Netherlands) and Société Générale (France), signed up to a pilot scheme led by the World Green Banking Council, which aims to incentivise improvements in building energy efficiency by offering lower mortgage rates to owner-occupiers. ABN Amro (Netherlands) was the first bank to raise green bond funding in 2015 to finance green residential mortgages, which are secured on energy-efficient homes or will be used for rooftop solar installations on existing homes.
The role of government and the public sector: lead from the front lines, promote and sponsor growth

Development banks, government-backed entities, local governments and sovereigns represent 26.5% of the global green bond market. Their deals finance national climate agendas and support market development. The bonds are typically earmarked for investment in a wide array of sectors, but particularly transport, water infrastructure and public buildings.

Sovereign boom builds on local government success

Sovereign bonds from Belgium, France and Poland have boosted volumes recently (for a full list see page 5) and the line-up for 2019 issuance is already strong (see next page).

Local government green bond issuance paved the way. French and Swedish local governments were among the first green bond issuers when they entered the market in 2012/13. Local governments – cities, municipalities, regions, states and provinces – are generally early-stage green bond adopters in their respective domestic markets and typically continue to issue on a regular basis. This demonstrates their commitment and awareness, and helps to achieve their country’s climate and energy efficiency goals.

Over the last year or so, sustainability bonds have become increasingly popular among local governments as they seek to integrate social development projects with climate finance. We view green bonds as a bridge to achieving sustainable development goals. They enable large-scale financing of sustainable and climate-resilient public infrastructure as well as the funding of climate adaptation measures. However, we continue to apply the term “green” to bonds that finance almost exclusively climate solutions (see page 23 for a discussion on the wider labelled market).

A rising preference for sustainability bonds, particularly in some big markets such as France, is one of the reasons why 2018 green bond issuance from local governments back has retreated to 2016 levels. The other contributing factor is lower issuance volume from US Munis. For instance, the top two US states for green bond issuance in 2017, New York and California, recorded the greatest decrease in annual volume in 2018 (NY: USD4.6bn to USD835m, CA: USD4.3bn to USD1.5bn). Tax changes in the US and rising interest rates dampened US Muni and other bond issuance.

A new wave of local government issuance from promising regions (see next page) is on the way. The other contributing factor is lower issuance volume from US Munis. For instance, the top two US states for green bond issuance in 2017, New York and California, recorded the greatest decrease in annual volume in 2018 (NY: USD4.6bn to USD835m, CA: USD4.3bn to USD1.5bn). Tax changes in the US and rising interest rates dampened US Muni and other bond issuance.

There is room to further democratise the local government segment of the green bond market on a global scale as currently only 14 nations have seen their domestic local authorities issue green bonds. Existing bond issuers are in a good position to finance or refinance green assets/projects in the green bond market.

Public sector companies can help with scale

Public sector issuance commenced in 2010 with state-owned local government funding agency KBN (Norway). Since then, there has been a steady stream of issuance from state- and local government companies, particularly from the Nordics and France, where the national climate policy is very supportive. In the US state agencies and other entities such as transport authorities, water utilities and universities (US Munis) have adopted green bond issuance as part of their strategy, notwithstanding a less supportive federal policy.

In our various public sector issuer scoping studies, the aim was to identify existing bond issuers among local government (cities, municipalities, states), municipally owned companies (MOCs), state-owned enterprises (SOEs) or funds, as well as Local Government Funding Agencies (LGFAs) from a specific region/country. We have identified such potential issuers in a number of reports (see box).
Governments shape green bond policy

This section covers the main policy highlights from 2018. More details can be found in the Green Bond Policy Roundup 2018.

Sovereign green bond growth

2018 has witnessed additional green sovereign issuance. Landmark issuance includes the world's first blue bond issued by the Republic of Seychelles and the first sovereign green sukuk from Indonesia. Poland became the first EM sovereign to issue a second sovereign green bond. Ireland, Lithuania and Belgium also entered the market. France tapped its Green OAT twice, bringing the total bond size to EUR14.8bn. Finally, the Netherlands, Spain, Kenya, Egypt, Hong Kong and Vietnam are all in the pipeline for sovereign green bond issuance in 2019.9,10,11,69,70

For more on sovereign green bonds, please see the CBI Sovereign Green Bonds Briefing.71

National guidelines for green bonds

The second half of 2018 saw green bond guidelines published in Egypt and the Philippines.

In Egypt, the Financial Regulatory Authority (FRA) approved a legal framework for issuing green bonds in July 2018, with the aim of providing financial tools to fund eco-friendly projects in the fields of new and renewable energy, construction and transport. The guidelines were developed with the support of IFC, a member of the World Bank Group, and are based on the international guidance provided by the Green Bond Principles.72

In August, the Philippines Securities and Exchange Commission approved the Guidelines on the Issuance of Green Bonds under the ASEAN Green Bond Standards, effectively adopting the procedures for issuance set out in the ASEAN Green Bond Guidelines. The Guidelines are based on the international Green Bond Principles with a clear exclusion of fossil fuel power generation projects.73

EU TEG Process

Following the final report of the High Level Expert Group (HLEG), in 2018, the European Commission launched a Technical Expert Group (TEG) on sustainable finance. The TEG is composed of 35 experts from industry and civil society. Sean Kidney, CEO of Climate Bonds, is a TEG member.

The TEG is tasked with different activities, including addressing Action 1 under the Action Plan for Europe, which calls for the establishment of an EU classification system for sustainable activities. The EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Finance is currently being discussed by the European Parliament and Member States.

In the first phase of work, the TEG Taxonomy subgroup identified priority sectors to develop a range of activities, especially where guidance and criteria are already available and used by markets. Forestry, buildings, manufacturing, energy and transportation were all sectors of focus. A consultation paper was published in December.74

In its second round, the TEG identified further sectors. Workshops and meetings will be held with external experts to define an eligible list of activities, which is to be delivered in June 2019.

Central banks’ action

Central banks action towards management of climate and environment-related risks has increased in 2018, witnessed by the first meeting of the Network of Greening the Financial System (NGFS). The group, which comprises 24 central banks and supervisors and five international organisations, has released its first progress report. Key messages include the need for new analytical and supervisory approaches to assess climate-related risks, including scenario analysis and stress tests.75

Some members are already taking action with increased assessment of prudential risks. Central banks have started integrating climate-related factors in their investment strategies and introducing incentives for supervised banks to increase green lending and to issue green bonds.

Availability of national and regional green bond guidance
The wider labelled universe: sustainability bonds, social bonds, SDG bonds, blue bonds ...

The labelled bond market has expanded beyond green bonds. Sustainability and social bonds have been around for a few years now, but they really came into their own in 2018, with SDG bonds also emerging as issuers and investors started adopting policies and strategies linked to the UN’s 17 Sustainable Development Goals.68

Green bonds and the Sustainable Development Goals

Climate Bonds supports the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) overall and see many links between green bond finance and specific SDGs, in particular SDGs 6,7,9,11,13, 14 and 15.68 Notwithstanding this, CBI remains focused on green bonds, which are specifically linked to climate-change mitigation, adaptation and resilience. Consequently, the proportion of proceeds allocated to social projects which are not also green needs to be no more than 5% for inclusion in the CBI green bond database.

Sustainability and SDG bonds

Sustainability and SDG bonds may allocate proceeds to green assets only or a mix of green assets and social projects. 2018 sustainability bond issuance totalled USD21bn, according to Climate Bonds data. This represents an 114% growth compared to 2017. Adding these bonds to CBI’s green bond tally of USD167.6bn results in a total of USD188.6bn, or a 10% year-on-year increase.

Sustainability bond frameworks often provide separate green and social eligibility categories. If specific allocations per category are not provided, CBI assumes an equal split. For instance, Korea Railroad Corporation issued a EUR110m (USD127m) senior bond in October 2018. The issuer’s Green, Social and Sustainability Bond Framework includes five green eligibility categories (transport, energy, energy efficiency, waste and adaptation) and three social categories (access to basic infrastructure, employment generation and socioeconomic advancement and empowerment).70 Applying an equal split among categories results in 38% of funding allocated to social projects, and the rest for climate-aligned projects.

Région Occitanie (France) also came to market in late 2018 with a EUR200m (USD235m) senior unsecured bond. According to the Green and Social Bond Framework, funding will be allocated to projects in ecological and energy transition, natural resources protection, social action, and education and culture.80 This points to a 50% split of funding between social and green projects.

SDG Bond Frameworks

2018 has witnessed the emergence of SDG frameworks, which distinguish between green and social eligibility criteria and allows the issuer to classify a bond as “green”, “sustainability” or “social” depending on the use of proceeds.

These frameworks allow the issuer to keep a clear distinction between bonds that finance environmental projects and social projects. This makes it easier for investors with a dedicated mandate to identify bonds that comply with their investment criteria. BBVA became a frontrunner in this field, with a EUR1bn green bond issued in May under its newly developed SDG Bond Framework.77,78

In cases such as these, Climate Bonds would not include the bonds in the CBI green bond database as the social component appears to exceed our 5% limit. While it is obvious that some of the funding will go towards the types of assets and projects that are financed by green bonds, the lack of detail on allocations precludes us from estimating the volume of proceeds going to green assets/projects.

We have also started seeing ESG-linked loans. For instance, in early 2018, Dutch bank ING provided its first Asian sustainability performance-linked loan to Wilmar International, a palm oil producer from Singapore.81 Sustainability will track annually improvement of targets based on ESG metrics. If the performance milestones are met, the interest rate for part of the loan will be reduced for the following year. While the performance-linkage is a positive feature, it is unclear if the loan will finance assets or projects with a clear climate benefit and what part of the loan will be used to fund that. Consequently, the deal is not included in the CBI green bond database, as there is insufficient information to determine alignment to the Climate Bonds Taxonomy.

Social bonds

Social bond issuance also increased in 2018, albeit less dramatically compared to sustainability bonds, with USD14.2bn worth of deals coming to market in 2018 – a 37% year-on-year growth. Adding social bonds to green and sustainability volumes would yield an annual total of USD202.5bn, or 11% above 2017 volumes.

Notably, CBI treats bonds which finance social goals / projects without a clear climate angle – e.g. social housing upgrades to improve energy efficiency by 25% or more – as green bonds and analysed accordingly. However, bonds which finance social goals / projects without a clear climate angle, would not be included in the CBI green bond database.

NWB Bank is an example of a repeat issuer of both green and social bonds. The Dutch financing institution has issued 7 social bonds between 2017 and 2018, for a total of EUR5.2bn (USD6bn). As stated in the Social Bond Framework, proceeds will be fully allocated to affordable housing projects in the Netherlands.82

Spanish state-owned bank Instituto de Crédito Oficial debuted in the social bond market in November 2018 with a EUR500m (USD566m) senior unsecured deal. According to the Social Bond Framework, eligible projects include loans to fund SMEs located in an underperforming region of Spain that are not involved in industries considered to have a potential negative social or environmental impact, including alcohol, tobacco, Gambling and coal mining.83
Sustainable land use and natural resource conservation is one of the pathways to build climate resilience. More broadly, adaptation and resilience (A&R) needs presents new opportunities, in both developed and developing countries. Blue bonds and forest bonds are likely a harbinger of more labels to come for A&R financing. In anticipation of increased interest in accessing the bond market to fund this, CBI convened an Adaptation and Resilience Expert Group to discuss and develop the A&R Principles, which will provide high-level guidance for determining when projects and assets are compatible with a climate-resilient economy.

Another new label is climate action bonds, a term recently used by Italian gas utility Snam to highlight that their deal is funding the transition to a low-carbon economy but may not be seen as a green bond by some. Eligible categories include renewable energy, LED lighting and conservation areas, but also emission reduction projects for existing gas grid infrastructure.

Fossil fuel power generation and infrastructure does not meet CBI’s green bond screening criteria, so the bond is not included in the CBI green bond database. Notwithstanding this, the label and/or variations, which reference transition could be useful for companies that are financing their brown-to-green transformation.

Notably, however, many companies in the energy sector such as EDF, Enel, Engie and Iberdrola have used green bonds to finance investments in renewable energy, as well as in low carbon buildings, low-carbon transport, sustainable water and waste management. In fact, the green bond label is particularly valuable to companies in transition as it showcases their aspirations and commitment to financing climate-change mitigation, adaptation and resilience.

**Outlook for 2019 labelled issuance and beyond**

2018 has been a year of consolidation for the green bond market with good progress in the development of taxonomies and harmonisation efforts. As a result we would hope to see greater alignment of future deals to the Climate Bonds Taxonomy (see Appendix 2), which should lead to fewer exclusions in CBI’s screening process.

More broadly, clearer definitions of what is sustainable/green and improved disclosure on the projects being financed and their environmental impact can help investors assess the market and individual bonds. The rising number of dedicated green bond funds and the increased focus on ESG across the investment community is beneficial to scaling up the green bond market.

CBI’s target for green bond issuance in 2019 is USD250bn. It is an ambitious target, because it needs to be to slow down the impacts of climate change. Issuers, investors and governments are increasingly aware of the need to scale up climate finance and we hope we will see increased issuance - more issuers, more repeat issuance and a further rise in green bond issuance from banks, acting as aggregators for the loan market.

Canada, for instance, has started 2019 well with repeat issuance from pension fund CPPIB, one new issuer and two deals from repeat issuers. ASEAN, too, with one new Philippine issuer of Certified Climate Bonds becoming a repeat issuer in short order and a second sovereign green sukuk from Indonesia. France and Poland have both come back to market to finance their national transitions. Japan Housing Finance Agency entered the market with a bond financing new energy-efficient houses. And the list goes on...

We also expect the wider labelled bond market - sustainability bonds, SDG bonds, ESG-linked debt and social bonds - to continue growing, and estimate that the overall labelled bond market would reach USD300bn in 2019.
Climate Bonds 2019 green investment picture

The October 2018 release of the IPCC SR15 report has exploded any faint illusions that the world still has the comfort of decades to take decisive action on climate.19 There is a plethora of reports estimating the levels of finance in green infrastructure needed through the 2020s to influence outcomes to 2050-2060.20,21,22 Christiana Figueres and five other climate leaders called for USD1tn in green finance by 2020 as part of Mission2020’s six climate milestones in early 2017.23 Two years later, taking into account private climate-based investment, it seems the main actors in the global financial system are yet to demonstrate the level of capital ‘adaptation’ Ms Figueres defined as a performance measure.

The OECD estimates that USD6.9tn a year is required up to 2030 to meet climate and development objectives in its report Financing Climate Futures: Rethinking Infrastructure. It further notes that current energy, transport, building and water infrastructure make up more than 60% of global greenhouse gas emissions, and stresses that an unprecedented transformation of existing infrastructure systems is needed to achieve the world’s climate and development objectives.24

In 2018, green bond issuance was USD167.6bn. While green bonds are not the be-all, end-all of climate finance, issuance needs to be scaled up to help fund that transformation and the transition from a largely “brown” economy to a “green” one.

2018 has been a year of progress on the path to defining and harmonising the definition of green. The EU TEG on Sustainable Finance has continued work on developing a EU taxonomy and stepped up efforts to harmonise approaches globally. The Experts Panel on Green Finance in Canada is working towards its final report and, in Australia, investor and ESG groups have sidestepped political inertia and are forming a Sustainable Finance taskforce. The low-profile central bank-led Network for Greening the Financial System is due to publish its first comprehensive report in April 2019.

China continued its steps towards greening its financial system. Mexico, Morocco and Nigeria introduced green bond guidelines, as did the Lima and Santiago stock exchanges. The UK is acting on its Green Finance Taskforce recommendations to enhance London’s burgeoning role as a green finance hub.

ICMA updated the Green Bond Principles, incorporating SDG factors, and published the Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Principles.2 The Loan Market Association’s Green Loan Principles, released in March 2018,3 can have an even greater impact on how banks finance infrastructure, property and industry. A year on, the IFC has announced that it will begin applying the GLPs to spur the growth of the USD33bn green-loan market.88

CBI updated its Taxonomy in 2018 and will shortly release the Standard Version 3.0, a complete overhaul of CBI’s umbrella guidance for Certification, after a year-long development process to expand the science-based Standard and sector Criteria.

In response to investor demand for disclosure on the impact of green bonds, ICMA continued enhancing its suite of impact reporting guidelines with suggested metrics and templates for Waste Management and Resource-Efficiency Projects and Clean Transportation Projects in 2018.89

CBI research into post-issuance reporting found that two thirds of issuance by amount had use-of-proceeds and impact reporting. Notably, we also found that a third of bonds, for which there was no specific upfront commitment, did, in fact, report. This suggests issuers understand the need for transparency and disclosure.

Against the ticking clock that SR15 loudly amplified, pressure comes from all quarters that climate and green investment must increase. The predictions of annual issuance for 2019 range from USD140bn to USD300bn.86 However, what is really needed is a trillion a year. That continues to be the big benchmark that banks, insurers and corporates must deliver. By end 2020 the public scrutiny on those who are not investing in green and contributing to the transition to a low-carbon economy will likely be sharper.

In the interim, investors are pushing harder on the world’s largest emitters. The Climate Action 100+ initiative, one of the projects that formed the ‘Investor Agenda’, has established a straight line of corporate governance engagement between a USD32tn coalition of institutional investors and the 160 largest global emitters.25

Speeding the brown-to-green transition is one of the end points of the engagement. This must mean attention moving from risk assessments and statements of intent to actual investment from big emitters.26 An investor letter to EU power industry generators, grid operators and distributors reflects this coming focus, calling for capital expenditure plans ‘compatible with the Paris Agreement’ and, in time, with a zero-carbon economy.27 Metals, mining, the chemical and cement industries will also face similar calls in the future.

Brown-to-green transition in practice means large companies straddling both brown and green assets, and the sooner investor pressure results in greener capex, the better. Inevitably, the first deals from the high-carbon emitters will be greeted with the ever-present cries of ‘greewashing’. Civil society is right to be sceptical, but such a development could trigger a positive debate in 2019.

Some of the world’s biggest bank – ICBC, Bank of China and Industrial Bank – have issued benchmark-sized Certified Climate Bonds. Top 100 banks in Australia, Canada and Europe have also issued green: 11 of the Top 15 green bond underwriters are green bonds issuers. The creation of the Sustainable Banking Network underpins efforts to share knowledge and maximise impact.100

More banks need to lead on green bonds, green loans, green mortgages and other retail and corporate banking and investment products in 2019 and beyond. Those that do not, will in time, face institutional investors and civil society framing the green investment question as fundamental to their licence to operate.

A banking sector that collectively can still hold trillions in brown investments around the world but cannot make its vital contribution reaching the first trillion of green should expect no less.

Nation states as sovereign issuers of green bonds also have a role. Kenya, the Netherlands and Egypt have foreshadowed 2019 green issuance. Yet gaps remain amongst G20, OECD and EMEA nations. Treasuries and Finance Ministries can deploy green bonds to finance NDGs under the Paris Agreement, but, more importantly, sovereign issuance raises the country’s climate profile for investors, and has the power to mobilise private capital and spur additional issuance.

This year will see a strengthening of grass roots calls for more nations to act. We are not on track to limit temperature growth under 2°C; rather, we are on track to reach 3°C or more.

The impacts already in the planetary system are worse than we thought. The trillions needed through the next decade to support low carbon growth paths in China, India, Africa and Latin America are not yet in sight.

What is really at stake in 2019 is not so much a calendar issue, it is whether we cruise or accelerate into the 2020s.
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### Appendix 1 External review types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-issuance review</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assurance</td>
<td>Positive or negative assurance on compliance with the Green Bond Principles (GBP) or the Green Loan Principles (GLP)</td>
<td>EY, Deloitte, KPMG, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Party</td>
<td>Confirm compliance with GBP / GLP. Provide assessment of issuer’s green bond framework, analysing the “greenness” of eligible assets</td>
<td>CICERO, Sustainalytics, Vigeo-Eiris, DNV GL, SynTao Green Finance, CECEP Consulting, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green bond rating</td>
<td>Rating agencies assess the bond’s alignment with the Green Bond Principles and the integrity of its green credentials</td>
<td>Moody’s, S&amp;P, CCX (China), ChinaBond Rating, R&amp;I and JCR (Japan), RAM (Malaysia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-issuance verification</td>
<td>Third party verification confirms that the use of proceeds adhere to the Climate Bonds Standard and sector specific criteria</td>
<td>Approved verifiers under the Climate Bonds Standard scheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-issuance review</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assurance or SPO</td>
<td>Assurance of allocation of proceeds to eligible green projects</td>
<td>Audit firms, ESG service providers, scientific experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact report</td>
<td>Reporting that seeks to quantify the climate or environmental impact of a project/asset numerically</td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-issuance verification</td>
<td>Assurance against the Climate Bonds Standard, including allocation of proceeds to eligible green projects and types of green projects</td>
<td>Approved verifiers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix 2 Climate Bonds Taxonomy

The Climate Bonds Taxonomy identifies the assets and projects needed to deliver a low carbon economy and gives GHG emissions screening criteria consistent with the 2-degree global warming target set by the COP 21 Paris Agreement. More information is available at https://standard.climatebonds.net/taxonomy.

![Climate Bonds Taxonomy Diagram]
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Who can issue green bonds?

Any entity which has suitable green assets can issue green bonds, green sukuk or obtain a green loan. Suitable green assets include renewable energy, low carbon transport, low carbon buildings, sustainable water and waste management, sustainable land use as well as climate change adaptation measures such as flood defences.

Best practice:
Arrange an external review

Assurance report: an external party confirmation of compliance with GBP/GLP

Second Party Opinion: an external assessment of the issuer’s green bond framework, confirming GBP compliance and analysing the eligible asset categories

Green rating: an evaluation of the green bond and framework against a third-party rating methodology, which considers the environmental aspects of the investments. These include products developed by international and local rating agencies such as R&I (Japan) and RAM (Malaysia)

Verification report for Certified Climate Bond: third-party verification, pre- and post-issuance, which confirms that the use of proceeds adheres to the Climate Bonds Standard and Sector Criteria and the Paris agreement to keep global warming to 2°C and achieve full decarbonisation by 2050

Available guidelines & standards:

International: Green Bond Principles (GBP), Green Loan Principles, Climate Bonds Taxonomy and Climate Bonds Standard

Regional: ASEAN Green Bond Standards

Country-specific: Ministry of Environment Japan (MoEJ) green bond guidelines and taxonomy

How to issue a green bond / loan / sukuk

1. Develop a green bond framework
   - Define eligibility criteria for projects/assets
   - Create selection process
   - Set up tracking & reporting

2. Best practice: Arrange an external review

Assurance report: an external party confirmation of compliance with GBP/GLP

Second Party Opinion: an external assessment of the issuer’s green bond framework, confirming GBP compliance and analysing the eligible asset categories

Green rating: an evaluation of the green bond and framework against a third-party rating methodology, which considers the environmental aspects of the investments. These include products developed by international and local rating agencies such as R&I (Japan) and RAM (Malaysia)

Verification report for Certified Climate Bond: third-party verification, pre- and post-issuance, which confirms that the use of proceeds adheres to the Climate Bonds Standard and Sector Criteria and the Paris agreement to keep global warming to 2°C and achieve full decarbonisation by 2050

3. Check for local subsidies & support mechanisms:

Singapore: MAS grant scheme can absorb the full cost of external reviews

Malaysia offers tax deduction of issuance costs for issuers and tax exemptions for investors until 2020

Japan: Subsidies of up to JPY50m available to cover external reviews, green bond advisory and structuring fees

4. Issue the bond, sukuk, loan!

5. Post-issuance reporting

Report annually to confirm that the funds are allocated to green projects / assets

Best practice: Disclose environmental impacts of financed projects in absolute terms and relative to an appropriate benchmark

Prepared by the Climate Bonds Initiative